UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/710,773	08/02/2004	Charles Dana Shipes	SHI-01	4772	
	7590 11/16/2007 DICKINSON LLP	EXAMINER			
LUNDEEN & DICKINSON, LLP PO BOX 131144			DONNELLY,	DONNELLY, JEROME W	
HOUSTON, TX 77219-1144			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3764		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/16/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

marcee@ldiplaw.com dan@ldiplaw.com dave@ldiplaw.com

	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
2 - 1		10/710,773	SHIPES, CHARLES DANA				
	Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jerome W. Donnelly	3764				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE	REPLY FILED/6/15/07 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATI		•				
	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or			andonment of			
Ţ	this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
	a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN						
Evton	TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		(36(a) and the appropria	te extension fee			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS							
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause			
(a) 🔀 They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
	(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.				
	NOTE:, (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).							
5.			timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
	non-allowable claim(s).						
7. 🔀	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an e	explanation of			
	Claim(s) allowed:						
	Claim(s) rejected to: Claim(s) rejected: -8. a xx 10 -1 8						
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	All Comments and the according to	-1'6 A1 - 311	. 4			
8. ∟	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. 🗀	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
REQ	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation UEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. [The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 14. Applicant acqueriests are not personally actually							
Applicant arquements are NOT personally, I'm similar							
prior art discloses the above as charles							
realized applicant is argueing reatives							
	- 400,000040.00 6 00.00 10.00 10.00 11.4	11 m 15 01					